

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Naydeen Monzon

CASE No C 4:19-cv-04290-HSG

Plaintiff(s)

v.

STIPULATION AND ORDER  
SELECTING ADR PROCESS

I.Q. Data Systems, Inc.

Defendant(s)

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5. The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

- ☒ **Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)** (ADR L.R. 5)
- ☐ **Mediation** (ADR L.R. 6)
- ☐ **Early Settlement Conference with a Magistrate Judge** (ADR L.R. 7)
- ☐ **Private ADR** (*specify process and provider*)

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

- ☒ the presumptive deadline (*90 days from the date of the order referring the case to ADR*)
- ☐ other requested deadline:

Date: December 9, 2019

/s/ Lauren B. Veggian

Attorney for Plaintiff

Date: December 9, 2019

/s/ Alan Patrick Smith

Attorney for Defendant

☒ IT IS SO ORDERED.

☐ IT IS SO ORDERED WITH THE FOLLOWING MODIFICATIONS:

DATE: 12/9/2019

  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

**Important!** E-file this form in ECF using the appropriate event among these choices: "Stipulation & Proposed Order Selecting Mediation" or "Stipulation & Proposed Order Selecting ENE" or "Stipulation & Proposed Order Selecting Early Settlement Conference with a Magistrate Judge" or "Stipulation & Proposed Order Selecting Private ADR."